



ELEVENTH ITEM ON THE AGENDA

**Reports of the Programme, Financial
and Administrative Committee**

**Report of the Government members of the
Committee on Allocations Matters**

1. The Government members of the Programme, Financial and Administrative Committee of the Governing Body met on 16 March 2001 under the chairmanship of Mr. Nor-Eddine Benfreha, Chairman of the Government group, who acted as Reporter. At the suggestion of the Chairman, it was agreed that the third agenda item should be taken as the first item of business.

Assessment of contributions of new member States
(Third item on the agenda)

2. The Government members considered a paper¹ proposing a rate of assessment for the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, which had joined the ILO on 24 November 2000.
3. *The Government members recommend to the Governing Body that, in accordance with the established practice of harmonizing the rates of assessment of ILO member States with the rates of assessment in the United Nations, it propose to the Conference that the contribution of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia to the ILO budget for the period of its membership in the Organization in 2000 and 2001 be based on annual assessment rates of 0.026 per cent and 0.020 per cent respectively.*

¹ GB.280/PFA/GMA/3.

Scale of assessments of contributions to the budget for the 2002-03 financial period

(First item on the agenda)

4. The Government members considered a paper² recommending a draft scale of assessments for ILO member States for the years 2002 and 2003. In common with past practice, these scales were based on the latest rates of assessments for ILO member States in the United Nations scale of assessments of contributions.
5. The representative of Denmark made a statement on behalf of the following groups of member States:
 - the European Union;
 - Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Lithuania, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia;
 - Cyprus, Malta and Turkey;
 - Norway and Switzerland.
6. Regarding the scale of assessments, the European Union wished to underline the importance of the principle that the UN scales did not automatically apply to UN agencies such as the ILO. Each agency was empowered to decide on this matter in accordance with its own procedures.
7. In the case of the ILO the EU would not oppose basing the draft scales of assessments for the budget for 2002-03 on the UN scales approved by the United Nations General Assembly in December 2000, with the necessary adjustments for differences in membership, if this solution gathered consensus.
8. However, the EU wished to point out that the scales of assessment decided by the United Nations General Assembly in December 2000 for years 2001-03 were the result of a complex package which made consensus possible; one element of this package was that the reduction of the maximum assessment rate, from 25 to 22 per cent, should only apply to the UN and should have no automatic implication for the scales of assessment of the specialized agencies. This was duly mentioned in the resolution of the United Nations General Assembly. The EU was of the view that the terms of this package deal should be respected. Accordingly, the draft scales of assessment for the ILO budget for 2002-03 should be based on the UN scales approved by the United Nations General Assembly in December 2000.
9. This did not imply that the member States believed that the ILO should implement the entire UN resolution, merely the scale. Certain provisions such as the concept of capping could create difficulties elsewhere in the system.
10. The representative of Brazil supported the statement by the representative of Denmark. Brazil would not oppose a consensus on this matter concerning the financial period 2002-03, but wished to reserve its position with respect to future biennia in view of paragraph 3, Part C, of United Nations resolution 55/5.

² GB.280/PFA/GMA/1.

11. *The Government members recommend to the Governing Body that, in accordance with the established practice of harmonizing the rates of assessment of ILO member States with their rates of assessment in the United Nations, it propose to the Conference the adoption of the draft scales of assessments for 2002 and 2003 as set out in column 3 of Appendices A and B respectively to this report, subject to such adjustments as might be necessary following any further change in the membership of the Organization before the Conference is called upon to adopt the recommended scales.*

Procedure for the possible continuation of the work of the Government members of the Programme, Financial and Administrative Committee in relation to allocations matters during the International Labour Conference

(Second item on the agenda)

12. The Government members considered a paper³ describing the procedure to be followed if the Government members of the Committee were required to meet during the 89th Session (June 2001) of the Conference.
13. *The Government members recommend to the Governing Body that they be authorized to continue their work, if necessary, after the Governing Body has concluded its work at this 280th Session and to submit their report direct to the Finance Committee of Government representatives at the Conference.*

Geneva, 28 March 2001.

(Signed) Nor-Eddine Benfreha,
Reporter.

Points for decision: Paragraph 3;
Paragraph 11;
Paragraph 13.

³ GB.280/PFA/GMA/2.

Appendix A

Scale of assessments

States	ILO assessment 2001	UN assessment 2002	Draft scale of ILO assessments 2002	Increase or decrease (difference between columns 3 and 1)
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
	%	%	%	%
Afghanistan	0.003	0.007	0.007	0.004
Albania	0.003	0.003	0.003	–
Algeria	0.085	0.071	0.070	(0.015)
Angola	0.010	0.002	0.002	(0.008)
Antigua and Barbuda	0.002	0.002	0.002	–
Argentina	1.086	1.159	1.141	0.055
Armenia	0.006	0.002	0.002	(0.004)
Australia	1.460	1.640	1.615	0.155
Austria	0.928	0.954	0.939	0.011
Azerbaijan	0.011	0.004	0.004	(0.007)
Bahamas	0.015	0.012	0.012	(0.003)
Bahrain	0.017	0.018	0.018	0.001
Bangladesh	0.010	0.010	0.010	–
Barbados	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.001
Belarus	0.056	0.019	0.019	(0.037)
Belgium	1.087	1.138	1.121	0.034
Belize	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Benin	0.002	0.002	0.002	–
Bolivia	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.001
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.004	0.004	0.004	–
Botswana	0.010	0.010	0.010	–
Brazil	1.449	2.093	2.061	0.612
Bulgaria	0.011	0.013	0.013	0.002
Burkina Faso	0.002	0.002	0.002	–
Burundi	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Cambodia	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.001
Cameroon	0.013	0.009	0.009	(0.004)
Canada	2.690	2.579	2.540	(0.150)
Cape Verde	0.002	0.001	0.001	(0.001)
Central African Republic	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Chad	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Chile	0.134	0.187	0.184	0.050
China	0.980	1.545	1.521	0.541

States	ILO assessment 2001	UN assessment 2002	Draft scale of ILO assessments 2002	Increase or decrease (difference between columns 3 and 1)
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
	%	%	%	%
Colombia	0.107	0.171	0.168	0.061
Comoros	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Congo	0.003	0.001	0.001	(0.002)
Costa Rica	0.016	0.020	0.020	0.004
Côte d'Ivoire	0.009	0.009	0.009	–
Croatia	0.029	0.039	0.038	0.009
Cuba	0.024	0.030	0.029	0.005
Cyprus	0.033	0.038	0.037	0.004
Czech Republic	0.105	0.172	0.169	0.064
Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.007	0.004	0.004	(0.003)
Denmark	0.681	0.755	0.743	0.062
Djibouti	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Dominica	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Dominican Republic	0.015	0.023	0.022	0.007
Ecuador	0.020	0.025	0.024	0.004
Egypt	0.064	0.081	0.080	0.016
El Salvador	0.012	0.018	0.018	0.006
Equatorial Guinea	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Eritrea	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Estonia	0.012	0.010	0.010	(0.002)
Ethiopia	0.006	0.004	0.004	(0.002)
Fiji	0.004	0.004	0.004	–
Finland	0.535	0.526	0.518	(0.017)
France	6.445	6.516	6.417	(0.028)
Gabon	0.015	0.014	0.014	(0.001)
Gambia	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Georgia	0.007	0.005	0.005	(0.002)
Germany	9.707	9.845	9.695	(0.012)
Ghana	0.007	0.005	0.005	(0.002)
Greece	0.346	0.543	0.535	0.189
Grenada	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Guatemala	0.018	0.027	0.026	0.008
Guinea	0.003	0.003	0.003	–
Guinea–Bissau	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Guyana	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Haiti	0.002	0.002	0.002	–
Honduras	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.001
Hungary	0.118	0.121	0.119	0.001

States	ILO assessment 2001	UN assessment 2002	Draft scale of ILO assessments 2002	Increase or decrease (difference between columns 3 and 1)
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
	%	%	%	%
Iceland	0.031	0.033	0.032	0.001
India	0.294	0.344	0.339	0.045
Indonesia	0.185	0.201	0.198	0.013
Iran, Islamic Republic of	0.158	0.236	0.232	0.074
Iraq	0.031	0.102	0.100	0.069
Ireland	0.221	0.297	0.292	0.071
Israel	0.345	0.418	0.412	0.067
Italy	5.354	5.104	5.026	(0.328)
Jamaica	0.006	0.004	0.004	(0.002)
Japan	20.260	19.669	19.369	(0.891)
Jordan	0.006	0.008	0.008	0.002
Kazakhstan	0.047	0.029	0.028	(0.019)
Kenya	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.001
Kiribati	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Korea, Republic of	0.991	1.866	1.838	0.847
Kuwait	0.126	0.148	0.146	0.020
Kyrgyzstan	0.006	0.001	0.001	(0.005)
Lao People's Democratic Republic	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Latvia	0.017	0.010	0.010	(0.007)
Lebanon	0.016	0.012	0.012	(0.004)
Lesotho	0.002	0.001	0.001	(0.001)
Liberia	0.002	0.001	0.001	(0.001)
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	0.122	0.067	0.066	(0.056)
Lithuania	0.015	0.017	0.017	0.002
Luxembourg	0.067	0.080	0.079	0.012
Madagascar	0.003	0.003	0.003	–
Malawi	0.002	0.002	0.002	–
Malaysia	0.180	0.237	0.233	0.053
Mali	0.002	0.002	0.002	–
Malta	0.014	0.015	0.015	0.001
Mauritania	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Mauritius	0.009	0.011	0.011	0.002
Mexico	0.980	1.095	1.078	0.098
Moldova, Republic of	0.010	0.002	0.002	(0.008)
Mongolia	0.002	0.001	0.001	(0.001)
Morocco	0.040	0.045	0.044	0.004
Mozambique	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Myanmar	0.008	0.010	0.010	0.002

States	ILO assessment 2001	UN assessment 2002	Draft scale of ILO assessments 2002	Increase or decrease (difference between columns 3 and 1)
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
	%	%	%	%
Namibia	0.007	0.007	0.007	–
Nepal	0.004	0.004	0.004	–
Netherlands	1.607	1.751	1.724	0.117
New Zealand	0.218	0.243	0.239	0.021
Nicaragua	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Niger	0.002	0.001	0.001	(0.001)
Nigeria	0.031	0.056	0.055	0.024
Norway	0.601	0.652	0.642	0.041
Oman	0.050	0.062	0.061	0.011
Pakistan	0.058	0.061	0.060	0.002
Panama	0.013	0.018	0.018	0.005
Papua New Guinea	0.007	0.006	0.006	(0.001)
Paraguay	0.014	0.016	0.016	0.002
Peru	0.097	0.119	0.117	0.020
Philippines	0.080	0.101	0.099	0.019
Poland	0.193	0.319	0.314	0.121
Portugal	0.424	0.466	0.459	0.035
Qatar	0.032	0.034	0.033	0.001
Romania	0.055	0.059	0.058	0.003
Russian Federation	1.061	1.200	1.182	0.121
Rwanda	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Saint Lucia	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.001
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
San Marino	0.002	0.002	0.002	–
Sao Tome and Principe	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Saudi Arabia	0.553	0.559	0.550	(0.003)
Senegal	0.006	0.005	0.005	(0.001)
Seychelles	0.002	0.002	0.002	–
Sierra Leone	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Singapore	0.176	0.396	0.390	0.214
Slovakia	0.034	0.043	0.042	0.008
Slovenia	0.060	0.081	0.080	0.020
Solomon Islands	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Somalia	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
South Africa	0.360	0.411	0.405	0.045
Spain	2.551	2.539	2.500	(0.051)
Sri Lanka	0.012	0.016	0.016	0.004

States	ILO assessment 2001	UN assessment 2002	Draft scale of ILO assessments 2002	Increase or decrease (difference between columns 3 and 1)
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
	%	%	%	%
Sudan	0.007	0.006	0.006	(0.001)
Suriname	0.004	0.002	0.002	(0.002)
Swaziland	0.002	0.002	0.002	–
Sweden	1.063	1.035	1.019	(0.044)
Switzerland	1.196	1.274	1.255	0.059
Syrian Arab Republic	0.063	0.081	0.080	0.017
Tajikistan	0.004	0.001	0.001	(0.003)
Tanzania, United Republic of	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.001
Thailand	0.167	0.254	0.250	0.083
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.004	0.006	0.006	0.002
Togo	0.001	0.001	0.001	–
Trinidad and Tobago	0.016	0.016	0.016	–
Tunisia	0.028	0.031	0.030	0.002
Turkey	0.433	0.444	0.437	0.004
Turkmenistan	0.006	0.003	0.003	(0.003)
Uganda	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.001
Ukraine	0.187	0.053	0.052	(0.135)
United Arab Emirates	0.175	0.204	0.201	0.026
United Kingdom	5.014	5.579	5.494	0.480
United States	25.000	22.000	22.000	(3.000)
Uruguay	0.047	0.081	0.080	0.033
Uzbekistan	0.025	0.011	0.011	(0.014)
Venezuela	0.157	0.210	0.207	0.050
Viet Nam	0.007	0.013	0.013	0.006
Yemen	0.010	0.007	0.007	(0.003)
Yugoslavia, Former Socialist Republic of	0.026	–	–	(0.026)
Yugoslavia, Federal Republic of	–(1)	0.020	0.020	0.020
Zambia	0.002	0.002	0.002	–
Zimbabwe	0.009	0.008	0.008	(0.001)
	100.000	101.208	100.000	0.000

(1) The rate for the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia for 2001 will be recommended by the Governing Body at its current session for adoption by the 89th Session of the ILC (June 2001).

Appendix B

Scale of assessments

States	ILO assessment 2002	UN assessment 2003	Draft scale of ILO assessments 2003	Increase or decrease (difference between columns 3 and 1)
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
	%	%	%	%
Afghanistan	0.007	0.00900	0.00900	0.00200
Albania	0.003	0.00300	0.00300	-
Algeria	0.070	0.07000	0.06900	(0.00100)
Angola	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	-
Antigua and Barbuda	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	-
Argentina	1.141	1.14900	1.13100	(0.01000)
Armenia	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	-
Australia	1.615	1.62700	1.60200	(0.01300)
Austria	0.939	0.94700	0.93200	(0.00700)
Azerbaijan	0.004	0.00400	0.00400	-
Bahamas	0.012	0.01200	0.01200	-
Bahrain	0.018	0.01800	0.01800	-
Bangladesh	0.010	0.01000	0.01000	-
Barbados	0.009	0.00900	0.00900	-
Belarus	0.019	0.01900	0.01900	-
Belgium	1.121	1.12900	1.11200	(0.00900)
Belize	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Benin	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	-
Bolivia	0.008	0.00800	0.00800	-
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.004	0.00400	0.00400	-
Botswana	0.010	0.01000	0.01000	-
Brazil	2.061	2.39000	2.35300	0.29200
Bulgaria	0.013	0.01300	0.01300	-
Burkina Faso	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	-
Burundi	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Cambodia	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	-
Cameroon	0.009	0.00900	0.00900	-
Canada	2.540	2.55800	2.51900	(0.02100)
Cape Verde	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Central African Republic	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Chad	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Chile	0.184	0.21200	0.20900	0.02500

States	ILO assessment 2002	UN assessment 2003	Draft scale of ILO assessments 2003	Increase or decrease (difference between columns 3 and 1)
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
	%	%	%	%
China	1.521	1.53200	1.50900	(0.01200)
Colombia	0.168	0.20100	0.19800	0.03000
Comoros	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Congo	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Costa Rica	0.020	0.02000	0.01900	(0.00100)
Côte d'Ivoire	0.009	0.00900	0.00900	–
Croatia	0.038	0.03900	0.03800	–
Cuba	0.029	0.03000	0.02900	–
Cyprus	0.037	0.03800	0.03700	–
Czech Republic	0.169	0.20300	0.20000	0.03100
Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.004	0.00400	0.00400	–
Denmark	0.743	0.74900	0.73800	(0.00500)
Djibouti	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Dominica	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Dominican Republic	0.022	0.02300	0.02200	–
Ecuador	0.024	0.02500	0.02400	–
Egypt	0.080	0.08100	0.08000	–
El Salvador	0.018	0.01800	0.01800	–
Equatorial Guinea	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Eritrea	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Estonia	0.010	0.01000	0.01000	–
Ethiopia	0.004	0.00400	0.00400	–
Fiji	0.004	0.00400	0.00400	–
Finland	0.518	0.52200	0.51400	(0.00400)
France	6.417	6.46600	6.36700	(0.05000)
Gabon	0.014	0.01400	0.01400	–
Gambia	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Georgia	0.005	0.00500	0.00500	–
Germany	9.695	9.76900	9.62000	(0.07500)
Ghana	0.005	0.00500	0.00500	–
Greece	0.535	0.53900	0.53100	(0.00400)
Grenada	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Guatemala	0.026	0.02700	0.02600	–
Guinea	0.003	0.00300	0.00300	–
Guinea-Bissau	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Guyana	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Haiti	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	–
Honduras	0.004	0.00500	0.00500	0.00100

States	ILO assessment 2002	UN assessment 2003	Draft scale of ILO assessments 2003	Increase or decrease (difference between columns 3 and 1)
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
	%	%	%	%
Hungary	0.119	0.12000	0.11800	(0.00100)
Iceland	0.032	0.03300	0.03200	-
India	0.339	0.34100	0.33600	(0.00300)
Indonesia	0.198	0.20000	0.19700	(0.00100)
Iran, Islamic Republic of	0.232	0.27200	0.26800	0.03600
Iraq	0.100	0.13600	0.13400	0.03400
Ireland	0.292	0.29400	0.29000	(0.00200)
Israel	0.412	0.41500	0.40900	(0.00300)
Italy	5.026	5.06475	4.98753	(0.03847)
Jamaica	0.004	0.00400	0.00400	-
Japan	19.369	19.51575	19.21804	(0.15096)
Jordan	0.008	0.00800	0.00800	-
Kazakhstan	0.028	0.02800	0.02700	(0.00100)
Kenya	0.008	0.00800	0.00800	-
Kiribati	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Korea, Republic of	1.838	1.85100	1.82300	(0.01500)
Kuwait	0.146	0.14700	0.14500	(0.00100)
Kyrgyzstan	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Lao People's Democratic Republic	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Latvia	0.010	0.01000	0.01000	-
Lebanon	0.012	0.01200	0.01200	-
Lesotho	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Liberia	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	0.066	0.06700	0.06600	-
Lithuania	0.017	0.01700	0.01700	-
Luxembourg	0.079	0.08000	0.07900	-
Madagascar	0.003	0.00300	0.00300	-
Malawi	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	-
Malaysia	0.233	0.23500	0.23100	(0.00200)
Mali	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	-
Malta	0.015	0.01500	0.01500	-
Mauritania	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Mauritius	0.011	0.01100	0.01100	-
Mexico	1.078	1.08600	1.06900	(0.00900)
Moldova, Republic of	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	-
Mongolia	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Morocco	0.044	0.04400	0.04300	(0.00100)
Mozambique	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-

States	ILO assessment 2002	UN assessment 2003	Draft scale of ILO assessments 2003	Increase or decrease (difference between columns 3 and 1)
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
	%	%	%	%
Myanmar	0.010	0.01000	0.01000	–
Namibia	0.007	0.00700	0.00700	–
Nepal	0.004	0.00400	0.00400	–
Netherlands	1.724	1.73800	1.71100	(0.01300)
New Zealand	0.239	0.24100	0.23700	(0.00200)
Nicaragua	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Niger	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Nigeria	0.055	0.06800	0.06700	0.01200
Norway	0.642	0.64600	0.63600	(0.00600)
Oman	0.061	0.06100	0.06000	(0.00100)
Pakistan	0.060	0.06100	0.06000	–
Panama	0.018	0.01800	0.01800	–
Papua New Guinea	0.006	0.00600	0.00600	–
Paraguay	0.016	0.01600	0.01600	–
Peru	0.117	0.11800	0.11600	(0.00100)
Philippines	0.099	0.10000	0.09800	(0.00100)
Poland	0.314	0.37800	0.37200	0.05800
Portugal	0.459	0.46200	0.45500	(0.00400)
Qatar	0.033	0.03400	0.03300	–
Romania	0.058	0.05800	0.05700	(0.00100)
Russian Federation	1.182	1.20000	1.18200	–
Rwanda	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Saint Lucia	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	–
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
San Marino	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	–
Sao Tome and Principe	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Saudi Arabia	0.550	0.55400	0.54600	(0.00400)
Senegal	0.005	0.00500	0.00500	–
Seychelles	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	–
Sierra Leone	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Singapore	0.390	0.39300	0.38700	(0.00300)
Slovakia	0.042	0.04300	0.04200	–
Slovenia	0.080	0.08100	0.08000	–
Solomon Islands	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
Somalia	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	–
South Africa	0.405	0.40800	0.40200	(0.00300)
Spain	2.500	2.51875	2.48034	(0.01966)

States	ILO assessment 2002	UN assessment 2003	Draft scale of ILO assessments 2003	Increase or decrease (difference between columns 3 and 1)
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
	%	%	%	%
Sri Lanka	0.016	0.01600	0.01600	-
Sudan	0.006	0.00600	0.00600	-
Suriname	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	-
Swaziland	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	-
Sweden	1.019	1.02675	1.01109	(0.00791)
Switzerland	1.255	1.27400	1.25400	(0.00100)
Syrian Arab Republic	0.080	0.08000	0.07900	(0.00100)
Tajikistan	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Tanzania, United Republic of	0.004	0.00400	0.00400	-
Thailand	0.250	0.29400	0.29000	0.04000
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.006	0.00600	0.00600	-
Togo	0.001	0.00100	0.00100	-
Trinidad and Tobago	0.016	0.01600	0.01600	-
Tunisia	0.030	0.03000	0.02900	(0.00100)
Turkey	0.437	0.44000	0.43300	(0.00400)
Turkmenistan	0.003	0.00300	0.00300	-
Uganda	0.005	0.00500	0.00500	-
Ukraine	0.052	0.05300	0.05200	-
United Arab Emirates	0.201	0.20200	0.19900	(0.00200)
United Kingdom	5.494	5.53600	5.45100	(0.04300)
United States	22.000	22.00000	22.00000	-
Uruguay	0.080	0.08000	0.07900	(0.00100)
Uzbekistan	0.011	0.01100	0.01100	-
Venezuela	0.207	0.20800	0.20500	(0.00200)
Viet Nam	0.013	0.01600	0.01600	0.00300
Yemen	0.007	0.00600	0.00600	(0.00100)
Yugoslavia	0.020	0.02000	0.01900	(0.00100)
Zambia	0.002	0.00200	0.00200	-
Zimbabwe	0.008	0.00800	0.00800	-
	100.000	101.20800	100.00000	0.00000