INTERNATIONAL LABOUR CONFERENCE 92nd SESSION 2004

AGENDA ITEM ON CONDITIONS OF WORK IN THE FISHING SECTOR

Report V(1): Conditions of work in the fishing sector: A comprehensive standard (a Convention supplemented by a Recommendation) on work in the fishing sector

QUESTIONNAIRE - REPLY FORM

Country:	
Institution name and address:	
Date:	
Labour Conference, governments are required organizations of employers and workers be questionnaire and to give reasons for their replacements. This form is provided to make replying and workers' organizations if their replies a appropriate answer. If additional explanation	fore finalizing their replies to the following plies. The replies are to reach the Internationa at the latest. g easier. Copies can be made for employers re to be separate. Please place an "X" in the
please make sure the number of the question in the sure that are the number of the question in the sure that the s	is clearly given. njunction with Report V(1). Both the repore e available on the ILO Internet site
Names of the most representative organiza	

Is this a separate reply from an employers' organization?	□ Yes	□No
Is this a separate reply from a workers' organization?	□ Yes	□ No

Questionnaire

As noted in the introduction to the law and practice report, the Governing Body has placed on the agenda of the 92nd (June 2004) Session of the International Labour Conference an item concerning a comprehensive standard (a Convention supplemented by a Recommendation) on work in the fishing sector. It is proposed that this new standard (or standards) would revise the existing seven ILO instruments – five Conventions (concerning minimum age, medical examination, articles of agreement, accommodation and competency certificates) and two Recommendations (concerning vocational training and hours of work) of persons working on fishing vessels. As a comprehensive standard, it will address other issues, such as occupational safety and health and social security. It is also intended that it will provide protection for persons working on both large and small fishing vessels.

This questionnaire seeks to elicit views on the content of a comprehensive standard. The views expressed, and proposed conclusions on the structure and content of the Convention and Recommendation, will be provided in a second report. Both the law and practice report and the second report will be the basis for discussions for the item on the fishing sector standard by the International Labour Conference in 2004 (the first discussion). The second discussion would take place at the 93rd (June 2005) Session of the International Labour Conference with a view to the adoption of the revised standards.

The Office believes that the objectives of the new instruments should be to: extend coverage to reach as many persons working on board fishing vessels as possible; minimize obstacles to ratification; provide a better chance for wide ratification; enable the provisions to be implemented into practice; and minimize the risk of the Convention becoming outdated in a short period of time.

In order to develop a Convention covering as many persons as possible working on board fishing vessels, the approach being taken by the Office in the questionnaire is to pose questions concerning provisions of a general nature – and therefore applicable to all, or nearly all, persons working on fishing vessels – and then to ask questions on provisions of a more targeted nature for possible inclusion in a part of the Convention applying to only certain categories of vessels. Questions concerning detailed provisions are also included in the accompanying Recommendation. The questions reflect, to a certain extent, the Office's perception as to whether a particular provision should be included in the Convention (which would be binding for Members which ratify it) or in the Recommendation (which would not be binding but would provide guidance). However, if respondents believe that a provision does not belong in the Convention but rather belongs in the Recommendation, or vice versa, they should state this clearly.

In preparing this questionnaire, the Office has taken into account the provisions not only of existing ILO standards but also of standards adopted by other international organizations, such as the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and the International Maritime Organization (IMO). For example, the Office has taken into account the provisions of the FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries. ¹ The intent of this approach is to integrate, to the extent possible, the work of the ILO with that of other international organizations concerned with the fisheries and the operation of fishing vessels. It is expected that this will lead to the development of a new ILO standard for the

¹ The World Summit on Sustainable Development, inter alia, agreed that to achieve sustainable fisheries, States should implement the Code, taking note of the special requirements of developing countries and the relevant FAO international plans of action and technical guidelines.

fishing sector which is clearly understood and more likely to be found acceptable not only by ministries responsible for labour issues but also by those responsible for fisheries management and fishing vessel safety, as well as fishing vessel owners and those working on fishing vessels. Similarly, the questionnaire attempts to draw upon internationally accepted fisheries terminology.

With regard to article 38, paragraph 1, of the Standing Orders of the Conference, governments are requested to consult with the most representative organizations of employers and workers before finalizing their replies to this questionnaire, to give reasons for their replies and to indicate which organizations have been consulted. Governments are also reminded of the importance of ensuring that all relevant departments are involved in the present consultative process including the departments responsible for labour and social affairs, fisheries, maritime safety, health and the environment. The experience gained by the Office in obtaining the information provided in the law and practice report also points to the value of consultations, where possible, with regional and local authorities within member States. In order to enable the Office to take account of the replies to this questionnaire, governments are requested to send their replies so as to reach the Office no later than 1 August 2003.

In preparing replies to the questionnaire, governments, as well as representative organizations of employers and workers, are encouraged to provide reasons for their replies and to provide any additional comments or information which they believe will contribute to an internationally shared sense of what should or should not be addressed in the proposed new Convention and Recommendation. This is particularly important when the reply by the member State does not fit into the set answers (i.e., the "yes" and "no" boxes) provided by the Office. Respondents are also strongly encouraged to draw attention to any issues which the Office has not addressed or which require further development.

While the Office has attempted to provide a questionnaire which is not overly onerous or time consuming to answer, it recognizes the work involved in preparing replies and extends its thanks, in advance, to those carrying out this essential work.

Question A1 – Do you consider that the International Labour Conference should adopt

A. FORM OF THE INSTRUMENT OR INSTRUMENTS

one or more	instruments on work in the fishing sector?
□ Yes	□ No
	2 – If yes, should the instrument or instruments take the form of (a) of (b) a Recommendation, (c) a Convention supplemented by a ation?
-	

Note: For practical reasons, the Office is presenting the following questions under the headings "Contents of a proposed Convention" and "Contents of a proposed Recommendation". This presentation does not predetermine the final form of the

Confrep-Report V(1)-2002-12-0093-5.doc/v2

instrument or instruments on the number of instruments that could be adopted.

B. CONTENTS OF A PROPOSED CONVENTION

B1. Scope

Commentary

The seven existing ILO instruments concerning work on board fishing vessels set out their scope in different ways. Generally, they provide that they apply to vessels engaged in "maritime fishing in salt waters". Several provide exceptions or exemptions for certain categories of fishing vessels (those engaged in whaling or recreational fishing, or primarily propelled by sail) or for fishing vessels operating in certain areas (ports, harbours, estuaries of rivers). Some provide that the instrument applies, in whole or in part, to fishing vessels of a certain size (vessel length in feet and metres, or tonnage) or engine power.

For the purpose of this Convention the term "fishing vessel" should mean any vessel used or intended for use for the purposes of the commercial exploitation of living marine resources, including mother ships and any other vessels directly engaged in fishing operations. ²

When preparing the law and practice report, the Office observed that many States regulated some aspects of conditions of work on board fishing vessels according to the area of operation of the vessel. To delimit their application these States often use terms such as "coastal", "inshore", "offshore", "small-scale" and "artisanal". Such terms are often not defined by States or, even when defined, vary from State to State. In an attempt to improve clarity in the use of terms concerning the area of operation, the Office for the purposes of this questionnaire, identifies five areas of operation. In doing so, it recognizes that many States may not regulate conditions of work on fishing vessels according to these five areas of operation. Nevertheless, responses using these five areas of operation will help the Office obtain a common understanding of the preferred scope of the instruments. If such an approach is not considered appropriate by the respondent, the questionnaire also provides for the possibility of indicating preferences for other methods of setting out the scope (e.g. by vessel length, tonnage, length of time at sea).

The following areas of operation are used in the questionnaire:³

- vessels engaged in fishing operations on the high seas and in waters other than those of the flag State (hereinafter referred to as "A"); 4
- vessels engaged in fishing operations up to the limits of the exclusive economic zone of the flag State (hereinafter referred to as "B");
- vessels engaged in fishing operations up to the limits of the territorial waters of the flag State (hereinafter referred to as "C");

² Extracted from the FAO Agreement to Promote Compliance with International Conservation and Management Measures by Fishing Vessels on the High Seas, article I(a).

³ The categories are given letters (A, B, C, D, E) for ease of reference when answering questions.

⁴ Based on the FAO Agreement to Promote Compliance with International Conservation and Management Measures by Fishing Vessels on the High Seas, article II(1), and on terms used in the FAO Standard Specifications for Marking and Identification of Fishing Vessels.

• vessels engaged in fishing operations in rivers and inland waters (hereinafter referred to as "E").
Question B1(a) – Should the Convention apply to fishing vessels in all of the abovementioned areas of operation?
□ Yes □ No
Question B1(b) – Should the Convention provide the possibility to exclude certain fishing vessels in the following areas of operation:
□ vessels engaged in fishing operations up to the limits of the territorial waters of the flag State ("C")?
\Box vessels engaged in fishing operations up to three miles from the baseline ("D")?
\square vessels engaged in fishing operations in rivers and inland waters ("E")?
$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
Question B1(c) – Should the Convention provide for any other exclusions?
Question $BI(c)$ – Should the Convention provide for any other exclusions? \square Yes \square No
Question $BI(c)$ – Should the Convention provide for any other exclusions? \square Yes \square No
Question B1(c) – Should the Convention provide for any other exclusions? \[\text{ Yes } \tag{No} \] Comments: \[\text{Question B1(d)} - If "areas of operation" would not be an appropriate method of the state of the
Question B1(c) – Should the Convention provide for any other exclusions? \[\text{ \t

⁵ Article 5 of the UNCLOS Convention provides that "... the normal baseline for measuring the breadth of the territorial sea is the low-water line along the coast as marked on large-scale charts officially recognized by the coastal State".

	other? Please specify:	
Com	nments:	
		the Convention apply to all persons working on board fishing
vess	els irrespective of natio	nality?
	□ Yes	□ No
Com	nments:	
B2. Minimu	m age	
Que		he Convention include provisions concerning the minimum age essels?
	□ Yes	□ No
Que	stion $B2(b)$ – If yes, sho	ould the minimum age be:
	15 years? 6	
	16 years? ⁷	
	18 years?	

⁶ Article 2, paragraph 1, of Convention No. 112, ratified by 29 States but since denounced by 20 States following ratification of Convention No. 138 accepting a minimum age of at least 15 years.

⁷ The Tripartite Meeting on Safety and Health in the Fishing Industry (Geneva, 1999) recommended that States party to Convention No. 112 contemplate ratifying Convention No. 138 and, where the minimum age for work is less than 16 years, to specify that Article 3 of Convention No. 138 apply to employment in maritime fishing.

	Comments:	
	Question B2	2(c) – Should the Convention provide for exemptions?
	□ Yes	□ No
	Question B2	2(d) – If yes, please specify:
		2(e) – Should the Convention provide that work on certain fishing vessels rohibited for persons under the age of 18 years?
	☐ Yes	□ No
	Comments:	
		2(f) – Should the Convention provide that certain types and conditions of work essels should be prohibited for persons under the age of 18 years?
	☐ Yes	□ No
	Comments:	
В3.	Medical exam	ination
		3(a) – Should the Convention provide that persons working on board fishing all undergo initial and subsequent periodic medical examinations?
	□ Yes	□ No
	Comments:	

□ Yes	□ No
Question B3(c) –	If yes, please indicate what these exemptions should be?
Comments:	
vessel and for whi	Should the Convention provide that a person working on board a fishing ich a medical examination is required should hold a medical certificate for work for which he or she is to be employed at sea?
□ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
lical care at se	
	a Should the Convention provide that fishing vessels should be required to
Question B4(a) –	a Should the Convention provide that fishing vessels should be required to
Question B4(a) − carry appropriate □ Yes	a Should the Convention provide that fishing vessels should be required t medical supplies? □ No
Question B4(a) − carry appropriate □ Yes	a Should the Convention provide that fishing vessels should be required t medical supplies?
Question B4(a) − carry appropriate □ Yes	a Should the Convention provide that fishing vessels should be required t medical supplies? □ No
Question B4(a) — carry appropriate ☐ Yes Comments: Question B4(b) —	Should the Convention provide that fishing vessels should be required to medical supplies? \[\sum \text{No}\] Should the Convention provide that fishing vessels should normally have to (e.g. the master or a member of the crew) qualified or trained in first
Question B4(a) — carry appropriate ☐ Yes Comments: Question B4(b) — on board a person	Should the Convention provide that fishing vessels should be required to medical supplies? \[\sum \text{No}\] Should the Convention provide that fishing vessels should normally have to (e.g. the master or a member of the crew) qualified or trained in first
Question B4(a) — carry appropriate ☐ Yes Comments: Question B4(b) — on board a person aid or other forms	Should the Convention provide that fishing vessels should be required to medical supplies? \[\sum \text{No}\] Should the Convention provide that fishing vessels should normally have to (e.g. the master or a member of the crew) qualified or trained in first of medical care?

	excluded fro	om the above requirement?
	☐ Yes	S □ No
	Question B	4(d) – If yes, please specify:
B5.	Contracts for	work
	fishing vess	Solution Should the Convention provide that every person working on board a sel should have a written contract or articles of agreement, subject to such as may be provided for in national laws and regulations?
	☐ Yes	S □ No
	Comments:	
	Question Barequirement	S(b) – Should the Convention provide for possible exemptions from the above t ?
	□ Yes	S □ No
		${\it ext}(c)$ – If yes, which categories of persons working on board fishing vessels exempted from the provisions concerning written contracts or articles of
	Comments:	
	vessel shou	5(d) – Should the Convention provide that persons working on board a fishing all have access to appropriate mechanisms for the settlement of disputes their contract or articles of agreement?
	□ Yes	S □ No
	Comments:	

B6. Accommodation and provisions on board fishing vessels

	appropriate of shing vessel	commodation and sufficient food and drinking water for the service of the
	□ Yes	□ No
		b) – If yes, should it provide for the possibility of exempting certain shing vessels from the requirement concerning accommodation?
	□ Yes	□ No
	Question B6(– If yes, please indicate which fishing vessels could be exempted.
	Comments: _	
	-	
B7.	Crewing of fisl	ng vessels
	ensure that f	t) — Should the Convention provide that States should take measures to hing vessels have sufficient and competent crew for safe navigation and ons in accordance with international standards?
	□ Yes	□ No
	Question B7() – If yes, please indicate which fishing vessels could be exempted.
	Comments: _	
	-	
B8.	Hours of rest	
) – Should the Convention provide that persons working on board fishing have minimum periods of rest established in accordance with national laws s?
	□ Yes	□ No
	Comments: _	
	-	
	-	

Question B6(a) - Should the Convention provide that all fishing vessels should have

B9. Occupational safety and health

\square Y	es	□ No
Comment	s:	
		provisions do not at present cover work on board fis e provided through one of the following means:
□ exter	nsion of general occupa	ntional safety and health provisions?
□ exter	nsion of maritime occup	pational safety and health provisions?
□ spec	ific provisions for work	on board fishing vessels?
□ coml	bination of any of the al	bove?
□ Y	es	□ No
Comment	s:	
	B10(a) – Should the C	Convention provide that persons working on board fis al security benefits applicable to other workers?
□ Y	es	□ No
	s:	
Comment		
Comment		
Question	B10(b) – Should the vely extended?	he Convention provide that such benefits might

12

B10.

	Comments: _			_
	_			_
			Convention provide for the possible exemption of certain board fishing vessels?	'n
	□ Yes		□ No	
	Question B10	O(d) – If yes, which c	categories of persons might be exempted?	
	Comments: _			_
	_			_
	_			_
			eafarers to persons	
wo	orking on bo	ard fishing vess	sels	
	vessels regist waters of Star which are no	tered in the State, e tes other than those cless favourable the	Convention provide that persons working on board fishing engaged in fishing operations on the high seas and in the of the flag State, should generally have labour condition an those provided to seafarers working on board vesses in commercial maritime transport?	ie is
	☐ Yes		□ No	
	Question B1 fishing vessel		d such a provision cover persons working on board othe	ŗ
	☐ Yes		□ No	
	vessels to wh certain lengt	hom the above prov	se indicate the persons working on board other fishin vision should apply (e.g. those working on vessels of d for fishing in a certain area of operation, vesselveriod of time).	a
	Comments: _			_
	_			_
	_			_
	Question B1	l(d) – Should the Co	onvention contain provisions on the following issues:	
	□ recruitm	nent and placement?		
	☐ identity	documents?		

	□ repatri	iation?
	□ other i	issues? Please specify:
	Comments:	
B12. En	forcement	
	Question B verify comp	S12(a) – Should the Convention provide that States should adopt measures to cliance with the provisions of the Convention?
	□ Yes	s
	Comments:	
		R12(b) – If yes, should the Convention provide for the possibility of exempting ing vessels from the above requirements?
	□ Yes	s □ No
	Question B	12(c) – If yes, please indicate which fishing vessels:
	Question B.	12(d) – Should the Convention include a provision on port state control? 8
	□ Yes	s □ No
	⁸ Port state of	control implies the exercise by the port State of control concerning compliance by a

⁸ Port state control implies the exercise by the port State of control concerning compliance by a fishing vessel calling at that State's port with relevant provisions of an international instrument ratified by that State.

	Comments:	
B13.	Consultation	
	with repress	13(a) – Should the Convention include a provision concerning consultation entative employers' and workers' organizations, as well as representative as of persons working on board fishing vessels in the development and tion of national laws and regulations concerning conditions of work on board els?
	□ Yes	□ No
	Comments:	
D4.4	Other leaves	
B14.	Other issues	
	Question B . Convention.	14(a) – Please indicate any other issues which should be addressed in the
C.	CONTENTS OF A	A PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION
C1.	Minimum age	and work of young persons
	(e.g. night w	I(a) – Should the Recommendation provide guidance on the types of work or in hazardous conditions) or the types of fishing vessels that should be or persons under the age of 18?
	□ Yes	\square No
	Question Cl	I(b) – If yes, what should be included in such guidance?
	Comments:	

C2.	Madiaal	ovomin	ation
UZ.	Medical	examin	iation

medical certificate and in certificate?	the Recommendation set out guidance on the content of the procedures to be followed for the issue of the medical
☐ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Question C2(b) – Should to certificate be approved by the	the Recommendation provide that the persons issuing such the competent authority?
□ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Medical care at sea Question C3(a) – Should to medicine chest and the type vessels?	he Recommendation provide guidance on the contents of the of medical equipment grequired to be carried on board fishing
Question C3(a) – Should to medicine chest and the type	he Recommendation provide guidance on the contents of the of medical equipment prequired to be carried on board fishing No
Question C3(a) — Should to medicine chest and the type vessels? ☐ Yes	of medical equipment ⁹ required to be carried on board fishing
Question C3(a) — Should the medicine chest and the type vessels? ☐ Yes Comments:	of medical equipment 9 required to be carried on board fishing
Question C3(a) — Should the medicine chest and the type vessels? ☐ Yes Comments: ———————————————————————————————————	
Question C3(a) — Should the medicine chest and the type vessels? ☐ Yes Comments: ———————————————————————————————————	of medical equipment equired to be carried on board fishing \(\subseteq \text{No} \) Recommendation set out guidance on the availability and on use of radio-medical and similar services on board fishing
Question C3(a) — Should the medicine chest and the type vessels? ☐ Yes Comments: ———————————————————————————————————	of medical equipment equired to be carried on board fishing No Recommendation set out guidance on the availability and on use of radio-medical and similar services on board fishing No
Question C3(a) — Should the medicine chest and the type vessels? ☐ Yes Comments: ———————————————————————————————————	of medical equipment equired to be carried on board fishing \[\sum \text{No} \] Propose Recommendation set out guidance on the availability and on use of radio-medical and similar services on board fishing \[\sum \text{No} \]

16 Confrep-Report V(1)-2002-12-0093-5.doc/v2

C4. Qualifications of persons working on board fishing vessels

		andards 10 concerning training of persons working on board			
	□ Yes	□ No			
	Question C4(b) – If yes, what	t issues should this guidance address?			
	Comments:				
C5.	Contractual arrangements on board fishing vessels	concerning work			
	Question C5(a) – Should the elements contained in Convarticles of agreement for wor	the Recommendation provide guidance, on the basis of the vention No. 114, 11 concerning the content of contracts or k on board fishing vessels?			
	□ Yes	□ No			
	Question $C5(b)(i)$ – If yes, should the guidance provided in the Recommendation also include elements not addressed in Convention No. 114?				
	□ Yes	□ No			
	Question $C5(b)(ii)$ – If yes, should one of these elements concern the specification of insurance coverage for persons working on board fishing vessels in the event of injury, illness or death 12 in the contract or articles of agreement?				
	□ Yes	□ No			
		onal Convention on Standards of Training, Certification and el Personnel, 1995 (STCW-F Convention) (see Chapter III of the			
	¹¹ See, in particular, Article 6 of	f the Convention.			

¹² Paragraph 8.2.8 of the FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries provides that: "States should promote access to insurance coverage by owners and charterers of fishing vessels. Owners or charterers of fishing vessels should carry sufficient insurance cover to protect the crew of such vessels and their interests, to indemnify third parties against loss or damage and to protect their own interests."

Comments:	
of agreements (c termination of c	– Should the Recommendation provide guidance on contracts or articles e.g. procedures concerning the examination prior to signing; signing and contracts or articles of agreement; records of employment; circumstances or work on board fishing vessels? ¹³
□ Yes	□ No
Comments:	
) – Should the Recommendation provide guidance on systems of and, if appropriate, including systems based on a share of the catch?
□ Yes	□ No
Question C5(e)	- If yes, please specify the issues to be included:
Comments:	
accommodation on board fishing	and provisions vessels
	- Should the Recommendation provide that States should have national attions concerning planning and control of crew accommodation on board
□ Yes	□ No
¹³ See Convention	n No. 114.

C6.

Cor	mments:	
	estion C6(b) – Should the Recommendatio ommodation and of food and drinking wate	n provide guidance concerning standards of r?
	□ Yes	□ No
Qu	estion $C6(c)$ – If yes, should these cover:	
	construction and location?	
	ventilation?	
	heating?	
	lighting?	
	sleeping rooms?	
	sanitary accommodation?	
	noise and vibration?	
	drinking water?	
	food?	
	other issues?	
Coı	mments:	
	estion C6(d) – Should the above guidance board fishing vessels make distinctions base	concerning accommodation and provisions ed on:
	fishing vessel length?	
	operating area?	
	tonnage?	

		time a fishing vessel normally spends at sea?
		other?
	Con	nments:
C7.	Hours	of work and rest
		estion C7(a) – Should the Recommendation set out guidance concerning hours of work est periods?
		□ Yes □ No
		estion $C7(b)$ – If yes, please indicate what should be the limits of working hours or visions for minimum rest periods.
	Con	nments:
C8.	Occupa	ational safety and health
	Que	estion C8(a) – Should the following issues be addressed in the Recommendation:
		the inclusion of fishing occupational safety and health issues in an integrated national policy on occupational safety and health?
		rights and duties of fishing vessel owners and of persons working on board fishing vessels in the area of occupational safety and health?
		where appropriate, safety management systems?
		personal protective equipment?
		guarding of machinery?
		the recording and notification of accidents, injuries and fatalities?
	П	investigation of occupational accidents?

		other issues? Plea			
	Cor	nments:			
C9.		security			
	Que pro	e stion C9(a) – Sh visions for persons	hould the Recom working on board	nmendation include grant fishing vessels?	uidance on social security
		□ Yes		□ No	
	Cor	nments:			
		estion C9(b) – Sho sons for your choic	ould the guidance	include the following	benefits (please specify the
		medical care?			
		sickness benefit?			
		old-age benefit?			
		employment injur	y benefit?		
		maternity benefit	?		
		invalidity benefit:	?		
		survivors' benefit	?		
		unemployment be	enefit?		
		family benefit?			

	Comments: _	
	-	
C10.	Register of per fishing vessels	ns working on board
	~	t) – Should the Recommendation include provisions concerning he competent authority of a register of persons working on board fishing
	□ Yes	□ No
	Comments: _	
	-	
	_	
C11.	Fisheries obse	ers
) – Should the Recommendation provide guidance concerning the k of fisheries observers 14 on board fishing vessels?
	□ Yes	□ No
	Question C11	– If yes, what should be included in such guidance?
	Comments: _	
	_	
	-	
C12.	Application wit	n the exclusive economic zone
	require, when) — Should the Recommendation provide that coastal States should by grant licences for fishing in their exclusive economic zones, that fishing with the standards of this Convention?
	□ Yes	□ No
	14 Article 62 (lization of the living resources) of the UNCLOS Convention provides that:

¹⁴ Article 62 (Utilization of the living resources) of the UNCLOS Convention provides that: "Nationals of other States fishing in the exclusive economic zone shall comply with the conservation measures and with other terms and conditions established in the laws and regulations of the coastal State. These laws and regulations shall be consistent with the Convention and may relate, inter alia, to the following: ... (g) the placing of observers ... on board such vessels by the coastal State."

	Comments:	
C13.	Other issues	
	Question C Recommend	13(a) – Please indicate any other issues which should be addressed in the lation.
	Comments:	